UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/656,554      | 09/05/2003                     | Martin Sproat        | 920476-94756        | 8188             |  |
|                 | 7590 07/29/200<br>HORNBURG LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| P.O. BOX 2786   |                                | NGUYEN, STEVEN H D   |                     |                  |  |
| CHICAGO, IL     | 00090-2780                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                 |                                |                      | 2416                |                  |  |
|                 |                                |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                 |                                |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                 |                                |                      | 07/29/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patent-ch@btlaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/656,554      | SPROAT ET AL. |  |
|                 |               |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |

|  | Steven HD Nguyen  | 2416  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL   | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL   | LOWANCE.  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance             | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE         | g date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
|  | unt puis unto the plate of filips a buick   | وعا لومسووه وعاموه النبي  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) after a first proposed and the proposed after the proposed and the proposed amendment after the p</li></ol> |   |   | cause                                    |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | •   | i L below),   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti   | •   | ducing or simplifying th  | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c   | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)   |   |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | ,   | mpliant Amendment (I  | PTOL-324).                               |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   | (1  | /.                                       |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 17, 40 and their de amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   | bmitted in a separate,  | timely filed                             |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   | l be entered and an ex  | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fails   | s to provide a                           |
| 10.  | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach   | ed.                                      |
| 11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan   | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |
|  | /Steven HD Nguyen/  |   |  |
|  | Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 2416  |  |
|  |   |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added limitation for claims 32, 48, 54 are required reconsideration/search.